

Article 187 definitely lays down that the Legislature Secretariat under the Speaker has a right to make its own appointments and lay down recruitment conditions for appointments to the Legislature Secretariat. Such a practice is in force in the Centre and may I ask the Government to bring similar rules and put them before this House and get them passed so that the Legislature Secretariat may be independent even in the matter of giving allowances to staff and making arrangements for the convenience of Members and managing the Legislature Secretariat by themselves?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—Sir, this question arose in the other House last week and I have said, there will be a conference of the Speaker, Chairman and the Minister concerned and we will be able to evolve some rules.

#### *Unanswered Questions.*

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM (Jagalur).—I want another information, Sir. You were pleased to say just now that 292 questions are pending with the Government and replies have not been furnished. I would like to know what would be the fate of these questions—whether they would lapse or whether they would be taken up in the next Session. I believe there were some questions left over at the last Session. I want to submit another thing. It is provided under the Rules that the total number of questions must be divided by the total number of days that we are going to sit and the prescribed number of questions for that day must be placed before the House and must be taken up.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It would have come to 90 questions per day. It is impossible to have more than 30 questions put and answered within one hour.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—It is the right of every Member to send ten questions, Sir. It is not necessary that all the questions should be answered during that one hour. We will be satisfied if the replies are furnished. We may not be anxious to put

supplementaries on some of those questions.

Mr. SPEAKER.—You are elected to the Rules Committee. We will consider this point in the Rules Committee.

#### *Discrimination in answering questions and quality of answers.*

\*Sri M. V. RAMA RAO (Tumkur).—Sir, questions relating substantially to the same matter sent by the Hon'ble Members of this House and sent by the Hon'ble Members of the other House—with regard to such questions I find that some of those questions have been answered on the floor of the other House but have not been answered in this House. Again with respect to questions tabled by different Hon'ble Members of this House relating substantially to the same matter, questions by certain Hon'ble Members have not been answered, while questions by certain Hon'ble Members have been answered. If the answers to these questions cannot be made ready, then the position could be understood. The fact that they relate substantially to the same matter would certainly indicate that the agency which prepares the answers to these questions would not have any extra difficulty in providing answers for all questions relating to the same subject-matter. There seems to be some defect of confusion in the matter of compilation of the answers to questions relating to the same matter. I hope, Sir, it is nobody's intention to answer only questions put by particular Members. But it does appear to me that such an effect is being produced by the manner in which only certain questions are being answered and other questions are not being answered.

\*ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ (ನಾಗರ-ಹೊಸ ನಗರ).—ನಾನೂ ಅದೇ ವಿಷಯ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ. ಈ ಅಧಿವೇಶನಕ್ಕೆ 10 ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದೆ. ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೂ ಇನ್ನೂ ಉತ್ತರ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ. ಕಾಲಾವಕಾಶ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರೂ ಕೂಡ, ನಾನು ಯಾವ ಉದ್ದೇಶದಿಂದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದೆನೋ ಆ ಉದ್ದೇಶ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡದೆ ಹೋದರೆ ಸಾರ್ಥಕವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬುದು ಮೇಲೆಯೇ ಕಾಣುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ರೀತಿ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು

(ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.)

ತಾವು ಕಳುಹಿಸಬಹುದು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆ ಕೊಡಿಸಿ ಅನಂತರ ಕಾಲ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ದೊರೆಯಲಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬ ಕಾರಣದಿಂದ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡದೇ ಹೋಗುವುದಕ್ಕೆಂತಲೂ, ಆ ಮೊದಲೇ ಎಷ್ಟು ದಿವಸಗಳವರೆಗೆ ಸಭೆ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತದೆ, ಎಷ್ಟು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಉತ್ತರಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯ, ಆ ಅವಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಗೊತ್ತು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು, ಅಷ್ಟೇ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿ ಎಲ್ಲಕ್ಕೂ ಸಮಂಜಸವಾದ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡುವುದು ಸೂಕ್ತವಾದ ರೀತಿ ನೀತಿ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ನಾನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಅದಲ್ಲದೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡುವಾಗ ಒಂದೇ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ವ್ಯತ್ಯಾಸವಿರತಕ್ಕ ಅನೇಕ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳು ಇರುತ್ತವೆ. ಒಮ್ಮೆ 15 ದಿವಸಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚಿತವಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳೆಲ್ಲಾ ಈ ಆಫೀಸಿಗೆ ಬಂದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದೇ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಎಷ್ಟು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳು ಬಂದಿವೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ವಿಂಗಡಿಸಿ ಉತ್ತರಗಳನ್ನೊದಗಿಸುವುದು ಉಚಿತ. ಇಲ್ಲದೇ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯವ್ಯರ್ಥವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಹಕ್ಕು ಇದರಿಂದ ಮೋಳಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ಮಾತ್ರ ನಾನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

\*ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪ್ರಭಾಕರ್ (ಸೆಲಮಂಗಲ-ಪಡ್ವಾರಿ ಪಂಗಡಗಳು).—ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವಾಗ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಒಂದೊಂದು ಸಲ 'ವೇಳೆ ಮೀರಿ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದರಿಂದ, ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಶ್ರಮ ಉಂಟಾಗುತ್ತದೆ'ಯಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಷಯ ಸಂಗ್ರಹಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬುದಾಗಿ ಕೆಲವು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಕಾಲಾವಕಾಶ ಸಾಕಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬುದು ಎಲ್ಲರಿಗೂ ಅರ್ಥವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಉತ್ತರಗಳನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ಇದ್ದರೂ ಕೂಡ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸುವುದು ತಡವಾಯಿತು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗಿರತಕ್ಕ ಒಂದು ಹಕ್ಕನ್ನು ಮೋಳಿಸುವುದು ಉಚಿತವಲ್ಲ. ಈಗಿನ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಎಂತಹದು ಎಂದರೆ ಯಾರ ದಾಕ್ಷಿಣ್ಯವೂ ಇಲ್ಲದೆ ಧೈರ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ. ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ದೃಢವಾದ ನಂಬಿಕೆ ಇದೆ ಎಂದು ಮೇಲೆ ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟ ಪುಟ್ಟ ತಪ್ಪು ಉಂಟಾದರೂ ಕೂಡ ಅದರ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು, ಅಂತಹ ಮನೋಧರ್ಮ ಬರಬೇಕು. ಕೆಲವು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಕಾಲಾವಕಾಶ ಸಾಲದು ಎಂತಲೂ, ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡುವುದರಿಂದ ನಿರೀಕ್ಷಿಸಿದ ಫಲ ದೊರೆಯುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂತಲೂ ಮತ್ತು ಬರ್ಡ್ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂತಲೂ ಹೇಳಿ ಈ ಮೂರು ಕಾರಣಗಳನ್ನು ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಪ್ರಜಾ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಎನ್ನಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರತಕ್ಕ ಈ ಸರ್ಕಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಒಂದು ತರಹ ಉತ್ತರ ಒದಗಿರತಕ್ಕ ಮನೋಧರ್ಮ ಅದಷ್ಟು ಬೇಗ ರದ್ದಾಗಬೇಕು. ಒಂದು ವೇಳೆ.....

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—Sir, before my Hon'ble friend goes to the first principles of this question, I would like him to study the rules and conventions and procedure and then speak instead of speaking arbitrarily on the subject, Sir.

Sri K. PRABHAKAR.—I know it, Sir.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—If you study the rules you will find that all the first principles you have got in mind will have been considered.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪ್ರಭಾಕರ್.—ಅದನ್ನು ನಾನು ತಿಳಿದಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡುವಾಗ shirking the responsibility ಎಂದು ಇದೆಯಲ್ಲಾ ಅದನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಬಿಡಬೇಕು.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Sir, let him straightaway take it that there is no shirking of responsibility.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪ್ರಭಾಕರ್.—ಅಂತಹ ಮನೋಧರ್ಮ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರಿಗೆ ಇಲ್ಲದೇ ಇರುವಾಗ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಉತ್ತರವನ್ನು ಓದಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಆ ತರಹ ಒಂದು ಮನೋಧರ್ಮ ತಮ್ಮಲ್ಲದೆಯೇ ಏನೋ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿ common manಗೂ ಕೂಡ ಸಂತುಷ್ಟವುಂಟಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ನಾನು ಹೇಳುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ, ಅಂತಹ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ನಿರ್ಧಾನವಾಗಿ ಉತ್ತರವನ್ನು ಸಂಗ್ರಹಿಸಿ ಕೊಡಲಾಗುವುದು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರೂ ಕೂಡ, it is cent percent correct. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಬದಲಾಗಿ ನಿರೀಕ್ಷಿಸಿದಂಥ ಫಲದೊರೆಯುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬ ಮನೋಧರ್ಮ ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡುವುದನ್ನು ರದ್ದು ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರಲ್ಲಿ ಮನವಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Industries).—Sir, under your guidance already we have given some replies and even today, we have furnished replies.

Mr. SPEAKER.—In fact there are replies pending in the office. Replies to 288 questions have already been brought to the notice of the House. There may be so many questions relating to particular Hon'ble Members and without making an analysis and finding out to which particular Members they refer, to make such comments is not very desirable. Added to that, this is a very short session and we had in all nine sitting days. Under Rule 25 I have no power, unless the Rules are modified, to restrict the number of questions which a member may send. Every Member has a right to send ten questions. Of course, not more than ten questions, and sending questions does not depend upon the number of days we are going to sit. Therefore, unless these rules are changed, it is no good making any comment. In short, I can say that the Rules Committee will examine all these aspects which

are now placed before the House by Hon'ble Members.

Sri R. CHENNIGARAMIAH (Koratagere-Madhugiri—Scheduled Castes).—If the Rules Committee Report that was presented before this House had been approved, it would have regulated the number of questions also.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Deputy Speaker was not inclined to move it yesterday.

## PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

### POSTPONED QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Leader of the House).—Sir, I lay on the Table of the House reply to one question of the Budget Session, 1952, ten questions of October Session 1952; 13 Questions of Budget Session 1953 and five Short Notice Questions of the Adjourned Budget Session 1953 which have been treated as postponed questions.

Mr. SPEAKER.—These answers will be printed in today's Proceedings of the House.

### BUDGET SESSION, 1952.

*Rents paid for locating Government Offices in Private Buildings.*

Q.—414. Sri S. SIDDAPPA (Magadi).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

the amount of rent paid every month to private persons for locating in private buildings Government offices of all departments in Bangalore City?

A.—Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

Rs. 16,905-12-0 per month.

### OCTOBER SESSION, 1952

*Communal Institutions recognised by Government.*

Q.—51. Sri T. HANUMAIAH (Hiriyur—Scheduled Castes).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the number of communal educational institutions, hostels and mutts that have been recognised by them in the State;

(b) whether any lands and sites have been given free of cost or at upset price by the Government to the above institutions; if so, the extent so granted since 1946?

A.—Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—

(a) (i) Communal Educational Institutions	Nil
(ii) Communal Hostels	105
(iii) Mutts	269

(b) (i) In respect of communal hostels, *vide* statement appended below.

(ii) In respect of mutts, particulars are as hereunder :—

1. *Mysore District*.—An extent of 72 acres and 31 guntas of lands have been granted in respect of 7 mutts at an upset price.
2. *Mandya District*.—Nil.
3. *Kolar District*.—Nil.
4. *Shimoga District*.—Nil.
5. *Chitaldrug District*.—An extent of 3 acres in Survey No. 24 in Mathada Kurubarahatti village, Chitaldrug taluk has been granted at an upset price of Rs. 25 per acre to Sri Murugarajendra Swamy Mutt, Chitaldrug.
6. *Tumkur District*.—An extent of 47 acres and 37 guntas has been granted at an upset price to Sri Siddaganga Mutt in Tumkur taluk. An extent of 4 acres and 37 guntas has been granted free of cost to the above Mutt in Tumkur Taluk. An extent of 10 acres has been granted at an upset price of Rs. 50 per acre to the above Mutt in Kunigal taluk,